

# Variation of Hospital Expected Complication Rates after Total Hip Arthroplasty in the California Joint Replacement Registry

Jay Patel MD<sup>1</sup>, Zhongmin Li PhD<sup>2</sup>, Aaron Forbes MD<sup>1</sup>, Camille Borges BA<sup>1</sup>, Nelson SooHoo MD<sup>3</sup>, Kevin Bozic MD/MBA<sup>4</sup>, James Huddleston MD<sup>5</sup>

1) Hoag Orthopaedic Institute • 2) University of California, Davis • 3) University of California, Los Angeles • 4) University of Texas, Austin • 5) Stanford University

## Introduction

- Comparison of outcomes after total hip arthroplasty (THA) at different institutions depends on an accurate risk adjustment model to account for variation in patient population characteristics.
- This study utilizes a risk adjustment model to determine the degree to which hospitals vary in their expected complication rates.

## Methods

- 5,560 primary THA procedures were performed in 26 hospitals in the CJRR between 2011-2015.
- 90 day complications were identified by ICD-9 codes, and a multivariable logistic risk model for postoperative complication was created using patient risk factors.
- The c statistic of the model was 0.648 and chi-squared value was 5.08.

## Complications

Postoperative Arrhythmia	Acute Renal Failure
Congestive Heart Failure	Myocardial Infarction
Fracture	Dislocation
Wound Infection	Deep Venous Thrombosis
Pulmonary Embolus	Excessive Bleeding
Nerve Injury	Death

## Patient Risk Factors

Age	Gender
Race	Bilateral Procedures
American Society of Anesthesiologists Class	Diabetes
History of Myocardial Infarction (MI)	Coronary Artery Disease
Congestive Heart Failure (CHF)	Peripheral Artery Disease
Chronic Lung Disease	History of Venous Thromboembolism

- Hospital expected complication rates were determined by applying the risk adjustment model to each hospital's patient case mix.
- A general linear model for analysis of variance was utilized to determine whether the hospitals' expected complication rates differed significantly.

## Results

Hospital	Surgical Volume	Observed Complication Rate (%)	Expected Complication Rate (%)	Observed/Expected Outcome Ratio	Performance Rating
1	135	8.89	6.04	1.47	AVERAGE
2	27	0	5.17	0	AVERAGE
3	254	5.12	6.23	0.82	AVERAGE
4	271	3.69	6.19	0.60	AVERAGE
5	64	6.25	7.18	0.87	AVERAGE
6	13	15.38	5.41	2.84	AVERAGE
7	165	3.64	6.37	0.57	AVERAGE
8	2,432	5.22	5.70	0.92	AVERAGE
9	35	0	8.06	0	AVERAGE
10	59	1.69	6.21	0.27	AVERAGE
11	90	12.22	6.10	2.00	WORSE
12	90	10.00	6.51	1.54	AVERAGE
13	140	9.29	7.64	1.22	AVERAGE
14	80	5.00	6.83	0.73	AVERAGE
15	91	5.49	6.26	0.88	AVERAGE
16	7	14.29	4.60	3.11	AVERAGE
17	103	5.83	6.08	0.96	AVERAGE
18	52	9.62	7.52	1.28	AVERAGE
19	382	6.54	6.64	0.98	AVERAGE
20	142	7.04	6.07	1.16	AVERAGE
21	12	0	7.05	0	AVERAGE
22	24	4.17	6.71	0.62	AVERAGE
23	7	14.29	5.61	2.55	AVERAGE
24	58	5.17	6.53	0.79	AVERAGE
25	616	7.95	5.56	1.43	WORSE
26	211	8.06	6.26	1.29	AVERAGE

- A performance rating was determined by comparing each hospital's observed and expected complication rates.
- "Better" and "Worse" than expected performance ratings were designated for hospitals with a *significant* difference between observed and expected complication rates.

- Overall observed 90 day complication rate was 6.03%
- There was a significant difference between the hospitals' expected complication rates ( $p < 0.0001$ ).
- No significant correlation between hospital volume and expected complication rate (Spearman's rank coefficient  $-0.036$ ,  $p = 0.860$ ).

## Conclusion

- There is a significant difference in expected 90 day complication rates after THA in hospitals in the CJRR based on each hospital's patient characteristics.

## References

1. Ayers DC, Fehring TK, Odum SM, Franklin PD. Using joint registry data from FORCE-TJR to improve the accuracy of risk-adjustment prediction models for thirty-day readmission after total hip replacement and total knee replacement. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2015; 97(8):668-71.
2. Inneh IA, Lewis CG, Schutzer SF. Focused risk analysis: regression model based on 5,314 total hip and knee arthroplasty patients from a single institution. *J Arthroplasty.* 2014; 29(10):2031-5.
3. Mesko NW, Bachmann KR, Kovacevic D, et al. Thirty-day readmission following total hip and knee arthroplasty - a preliminary single institution predictive model. *J Arthroplasty.* 2014; 29(8):1532-8.
4. Bozic KJ, Grosso LM, Lin Z, Parzynski CS, et al. Variation in hospital-level risk-standardized complication rates following elective primary total hip and knee arthroplasty. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2014; 96(8):640-7.

## Disclosures

- James Huddleston – Chair of CJRR
- Kevin Bozic – Founder of CJRR